



JOSEPH J. GRIBBIN

OF COUNSEL

Wilmington, DE

302.824.7089

jgribbin@atllp.com



With a focus on patent litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, Joseph Gribbin has extensive experience across multiple technologies and industry sectors, with particular knowledge in the medical device and life sciences industries. Joe has in-depth experience representing clients in infringement and validity matters before trial courts, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. He is also skilled in handling international trade secret disputes and matters involving patent licensing.

Joe's background in chemical engineering, including experience serving as a refinery chemical engineer, lends to his understanding of complex concepts and processes, which he then applies strategically to protect his clients' most valuable assets.

Joe also maintains an active pro bono practice, focusing on representing U.S. veterans who have been denied disability benefits before the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims.

BACKGROUND

Prior to joining Armstrong Teasdale, Joe was a patent litigation associate in the Philadelphia office of another international law firm, focusing on patent litigation—primarily before the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware—and post grant proceedings.

After law school, Joe held a judicial clerkship under the Hon. Norma L. Shapiro of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Prior to and during law school, Joe worked as a tech service engineer at a refinery for one of the largest fuel distribution companies in the United States.

EDUCATION

- Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law (J.D., *cum laude*, 2011)
 - Temple Law Review (Staff Member, 2008-2009)
- Villanova University (B.S., 2005)
 - Chemical Engineering

CHARITABLE AND CIVIC INVOLVEMENT

- Hedgerow Theatre (Board member, 2021-present)

SERVICES AND INDUSTRIES

Patent

Intellectual Property Litigation
Litigation

Post Grant Proceedings

Intellectual Property

Health Care and Life Sciences

Technology

Energy and Utilities

ADMISSIONS

Delaware

Pennsylvania

U.S. Patent and Trademark
Office

EXPERIENCE

Successful Markman Hearing, Motion to Dismiss for Natural Resources Mining and Processing Company in Delaware

Represented one of the largest natural resources mining and processing technology companies in an infringement case regarding a chemical-engineering process for the manufacture of soda ash. Argued multiple terms in a Markman hearing and successfully argued against a motion to dismiss in an oral argument in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, after which the judge ruled in our client's favor.

Two Successful Patent Validity Bench Trials for Major Pharma Company in Delaware

Served as part of the trial team representing a major pharmaceutical company in two successful Hatch-Waxman bench trials in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware on the validity of a pharmaceutical patent. After each trial, the Court held that the Defendants had failed to show that the patent was invalid. Rulings were affirmed by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on appeal.

Secured \$45 Million in Damages for Tech Client in Patent Infringement Case

Worked as part of a trial team representing our client, a tech company, in asserting a display-technology patent against a multinational electronics company in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. After a two-week trial, the jury found that the defendant willfully infringed our client's patent and awarded \$45 million in damages.

Represented Specialty Pharma Company in Licensing Dispute Over Drug in Delaware

Represented a specialty pharmaceutical company in a licensing dispute in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware over a drug commonly used to treat dry mouth. Motion for judgment on the pleadings was granted in September 2019—fully resolving all claims in our client's favor.

Successful Five-Week Bench Trial for Pharma Company

Served as part of a trial team representing a major pharmaceutical company in a successful five-week-long bench Hatch-Waxman trial in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. After the trial, the Court held that all seven defendants had infringed our client's patents, and that the Defendants failed to prove that the patents were invalid. The Court enjoined all of the defendants from selling copies of our client's drug, which protected over \$2 billion in sales. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed that Court's holdings on appeal.

Representation in Infringement Case for Major Medical Device Manufacturer in Delaware

Represented a major medical device manufacturer patentee in an infringement case regarding endoscopic hemostasis clips in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware.

Represented Tech Client in Multiple Patent Infringement Suits in Delaware

Served as part of the litigation team representing a tech company in multiple patent infringement suits in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware relating to telecommunications systems and LTE technology.

THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

September 2014

Pennsylvania eDiscovery

Cost-Shifting and Discovery Phasing (chapter)