



## KATHERINE HORN

### ASSOCIATE

Kansas City, MO

816.472.3119

[khorn@atllp.com](mailto:khorn@atllp.com)



Katherine Horn is an associate in Armstrong Teasdale's Litigation practice group, where she assists clients in resolving a range of disputes. She is skilled at drafting pretrial motions, discovery requests, petitions, affidavits, exhibits and other documents, conducting research and analyzing case law to assess the best defenses of a case in preparation for litigation.

### BACKGROUND

Prior to joining Armstrong Teasdale, Katherine was a Rule 13 intern at a child and family services legal clinic. Before that, she was a summer associate at Armstrong Teasdale, a law clerk in the Olathe, Kansas, City Attorney's Office and a clerk for a Lawrence, Kansas, criminal lawyer.

### EDUCATION

- University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law (J.D., *summa cum laude*, 2023)
  - Valedictorian
  - Top Overall Oralist for 1L Oral Arguments
  - CALI Excellence for the Future Award® (highest grade) – Lawyering Skills I (Fall 2020), Civil Procedure – Pleadings (Fall 2020), Business Organizations (Fall 2021), Estates & Trusts (Fall 2021), Professional Responsibility (Spring 2022), Employment Discrimination (Spring 2022), Estate Planning and Drafting Lab (Spring 2022); Criminal Procedure (Spring 2023)
  - Julian Klein Davidson Scholarship
  - UMKC Law Review Volume 91, Editor-in-Chief
  - Student Bar Association Mentorship Program
- University of Kansas (B.A., *summa cum laude*, 2020)
  - Political Science and English
  - Honor Program
  - Dean's List

## EXPERIENCE

### Defense Verdict for Public Entity in Vendor Contract Dispute

We obtained a full defense verdict in a jury trial in Jackson County, Missouri, on behalf

### SERVICES AND INDUSTRIES

Employment and Labor

Litigation

### ADMISSIONS

Missouri

U.S. District Court, Western

District of Missouri

U.S. District Court, District of

Kansas

of a public entity regarding a contract dispute with a vendor. The plaintiff alleged representations from the public entity's leadership induced performance in support of a claim for breach of warranty ex contractu. The jury agreed with our defense that the representations did not happen and that the plaintiff did not prove damages.