

PAUL L. BRUSATI
PARTNER
St. Louis, MO
314.552.6602

pbrusati@atllp.com



Paul Brusati is an appellate and commercial litigator trusted by clients to handle consequential cases in state and federal courts nationwide.

With extensive experience crafting persuasive briefs and delivering effective oral arguments in a variety of areas—employment, products liability, tax, medical malpractice, family law, personal injury, real estate and insurance issues, and felony criminal appeals—Paul regularly achieves successful outcomes in complex appeals, including cases before the Supreme Court of Missouri and the federal appellate circuits.

Paul is also committed to pro bono advocacy, dedicating significant time to representing clients who otherwise lack access to legal counsel. He volunteers with St. Louis Survivors Legal Support (SLSLS), helping survivors of intimate partner violence secure critical orders of protection and related relief. In addition, Paul serves as a Special Public Defender through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel, handling felony appeals for indigent defendants across Missouri.

Before joining Armstrong Teasdale, Paul clerked for Judge Laura Denvir Stith at the Supreme Court of Missouri.

EDUCATION

- Saint Louis University School of Law (J.D., magna cum laude)
 - o Order of the Woolsack
 - o Deans Honor Scholarship
 - o Saint Louis University Public Law Review (Editor)
- University of Missouri (B.A.)
 - o Psychology

CLERKSHIPS

- Supreme Court of Missouri
 - o Law Clerk to the Hon. Laura Denvir Stith

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

 Appellate Practice Committee of the Missouri Bar (Vice-Chair of the Continuing Legal Education Sub-Committee)

SERVICES AND INDUSTRIES

Litigation

Appellate

Complex Commercial Litigation

Mass Tort and Catastrophic Loss

Product Liability

ADMISSIONS

Missouri

Illinois

Kansas

U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Missouri

U.S. District Court, Western District of Missouri

U.S. District Court, Southern District of Illinois

U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan

U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas

U.S. District Court, District of Kansas

U.S. Court of Appeals, First Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit

- Leadership Council on Legal Diversity (LCLD) Pathfinder (2022)
- The Missouri Bar
- St. Louis County Bar Association
- Italian American Lawyers of St. Louis

U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit

CHARITABLE AND CIVIC INVOLVEMENT

- People with Purpose (Board member)
- Catholic Legal Assistance Ministry
- Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel

ACCOLADES

- The Best Lawyers in America® Ones to Watch, Appellate Practice, Commercial Litigation (2022-present)
- Missouri/Kansas Super Lawyers², Rising Star (2019-present)
- Up & Coming Award, Missouri Lawyers Weekly (2021)
- Roy F. Essen Memorial Award for Outstanding Work as a Young Lawyer, St. Louis County Bar Association (2020)

BACKGROUND

Prior to working as a law clerk for Judge Laura Denvir Stith, Paul interned at the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri and served as a judicial extern for Judge Roy Richter of the Missouri Court of Appeals, Eastern District. He also served as a summer associate at Armstrong Teasdale.

EXPERIENCE

Secured Dismissal for Banking Client in Loan, Foreclosure Matter

Secured motion to dismiss in St. Louis County Court for a Missouri state-chartered banking client. The plaintiffs claimed several million dollars in damages related to a loan and foreclosure by the client and filed claims alleging breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty, wrongful seizure and liquidation in a prior replevin case, and a wrongful foreclosure sale. The plaintiffs voluntarily withdrew their claims and set forth new claims of negligent misrepresentation or concealment, fraudulent misrepresentation or concealment and breach of contract/third-party beneficiary. The Court granted our motion to dismiss based on arguments of res judicata and failure to state a claim, and dismissed the claims with prejudice.

Jury Verdict Affirmed in Slip-and-Fall Case

The Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed a verdict favorable for our client following a trial in which the jury found the plaintiff 94% at fault for a slip-and-fall following a major winter weather event. The Eighth Circuit affirmed the trial court's ruling excluding portions of the plaintiff's deposition designations, which sought to introduce the cost of

the plaintiff's future medical care and information regarding his future surgeries.

Judgment Affirmed on Appeal in Insurance Case

The Missouri Court of Appeals for the Eastern District affirmed a judgment dismissing a case against an insurance company client with prejudice as sanctions for failure to appear. The Eastern District stated the trial court did not abuse its discretion in issuing sanctions and dismissing the case.

Opposition to Temporary Restraining Order for Freight Company

Defended a Canadian freight company against an emergency motion for temporary restraining order (TRO) demanding immediate return of leased trailers. Prepared a response and affidavit under very limited time constraints, and successfully argued in opposition to the motion for TRO. The judge denied the TRO, which would have caused serious harm to our client and its customers if granted.

Won Class Certification for Plaintiffs in Large IoT Liability Case Against Automotive Manufacturer

Lead counsel for three certified plaintiffs' classes with an estimated 400,000 to 500,000 members, in a case alleging that various cars and trucks suffer from a series of cybersecurity defects that render the vehicles vulnerable to being hacked in a way that would allow hackers to remotely control the vehicles' operational and safety systems, including acceleration, braking, steering and ignition.

Summary Judgment Affirmed for University Workers in Co-Employee Case

The Missouri Court of Appeals, Eastern District, affirmed summary judgment for three employees of a university who were sued by a co-worker who sustained personal injuries in a fall at work. Summary judgment in the case was granted in 2014, and while the case was on appeal, the relevant law changed three times. In the end, the Court of Appeals held that the clients did not have a duty to protect the plaintiff from risks in the workplace.

Reversal of \$72 and \$55 Million Judgments

Armstrong Teasdale attorneys obtained reversal from the Missouri Court of Appeals of two separate judgments – one for \$72 million and one for \$55 million – against our client in cases alleging that the use of personal care products containing talcum powder caused ovarian cancer. This was the subject of media attention around the country and earned trial lawyer Tom Weaver the title of Litigator of Week in the AmLaw Litigation Daily.

Dismissal of Fraud, MMPA Case Against College Owner

Secured dismissal of a case against the owner of a career training college after the college closed abruptly. The plaintiffs filed suit for fraud and violations of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act alleging the college and its advertisements knowingly made false representations of student outcomes. After obtaining depositions from the two plaintiffs where they admitted they had no contact with the client, we also obtained sworn affidavits from former employees saying the client had no part in the day-to-day operations of the college or the drafting of its advertisements. The plaintiffs were unable to find witnesses to say otherwise and voluntarily dismissed the case.

Ongoing Indemnity Litigation in Missouri Trial and Appellate Courts

Representation of Fortune 150 corporation in complex commercial indemnity litigation in both Missouri state and appellate courts.

Successfully Defended Packaging Company in Breach of Contract Case Following

Acquisition

Successfully defended a packaging company against the alleged breach of a supply agreement entered into following the acquisition of a plastics manufacturing business from the plaintiff. Following the sale, the plaintiff continued to sell a specialty product, which would be manufactured by our client, and sought return of certain equipment used for its production. The suit was filed in state court in North Carolina, removed to federal court in North Carolina, then further transferred to U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Our client filed counterclaims and third-party claims for fraud in connection with the supply agreement. Our client filed a separate action for fraud in connection with the sale of the plastics manufacturing business against the plaintiff and its principals in U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. All claims were resolved after mediation for a nominal payment and non-monetary terms.